

# WMH Law Corporation

Advocates & Solicitors

12 Eu Tong Sen Street, #07-169, The Central, Singapore 059819

#### Author's Details:-



#### **Mark LEE**

Joint Managing Director

 Head of Corporate & Commercial Dispute Resolution Practice

HP: (+65) <u>9182 9643</u> Office: (+65) <u>6514 6352</u>

Email: mark.lee@wmhlaw.com.sg

www.wmhlaw.com.sg
WMH LAW CORPORATION

## **Conclusion / Resolution of Court Proceedings**

Civil Litigation Practice Series





"Disposal of an action without trial"

# Conclusion / Resolution of Court Proceedings

#### What is the lifespan of a trial?

In our previous articles, we discussed how one may commence Court proceedings and the immediate steps that follow (e.g. the filing of the Statement of Claim, Defence and Reply to Defence).

Generally, Court proceedings are commenced with a view for the dispute to eventually be heard and decided by a Judge at a trial.

It suffices to state that the duration between the time from when a party first commences Court proceedings (i.e. the filing of the Writ of Summons) to the time that the matter is eventually heard by a Judge at trial is by no means insignificant.

In the interim, substantial work will have to be undertaken in relation to the documents disclosure stage, preparation of witness statements, trial preparation, etc.

The corollary to the aforesaid is, of course, that the longer the dispute remains, the higher the legal costs involved for parties.

In this article, therefore, we explore the various circumstances and avenues available to parties of a Court proceeding to end / conclude / resolve the matter without having to go to trial.

#### Interlocutory Applications

For now, it ought to be explained that once Court proceedings have commenced, parties have the right to make / file various "interlocutory applications" (i.e. mini-battles) before the final trial (the war). We will be exploring some of these interlocutory applications below.

# Methods to Dispose of an Action Without Trial

Depending on whether a party to a Court proceeding is the Plaintiff or Defendant, the following are some avenues that one can consider, including but not limited to:-

- a) Private Settlement; At any time before the trial (and even during the trial but before final judgment is rendered), parties can attempt to reach a private settlement to resolve the dispute. Assuming a settlement can be reached, parties will file a Notice of Discontinuance to formally end the Court proceeding. It is of course advisable that parties try to settle their differences sooner rather than later to avoid incurring unnecessary legal costs.
- b) Default of Appearance; if the Defendant(s) fail to enter an appearance by the stipulated deadline, the Plaintiff may enter default judgment against the Defendant(s) (Order 13, Rules of Court).
- c) **Default of Defence**; if the Defendant(s) fail to file its Defence within the stipulated deadline, the Plaintiff may enter default judgment against the Defendant(s) (Order 19, Rules of Court).

- d) Summary Judgment; if the Defendant has entered appearance and filed a Defence, but it is clear that the Defendant has no real Defence to the claim, the Plaintiff may apply to court for summary judgment against the Defendant (Order 14, Rules of Court).
- e) Determination of Questions of Law or Construction of Documents; The Court may, upon the application of any party or of its own motion, determine any question of law or construction of any document arising in any cause or matter where it appears to the Court that (a) such question is suitable for determination without a full trial of the action; and (b) such determination will fully determine (subject only to any possible appeal) the entire cause or matter or any claim or issue therein. (Order 14, Rule 12 of the Rules of Court)
- f) Striking Out of Pleadings & Actions;
  Pleadings or actions may also be
  struck out summarily by any party. An
  application to strike out any pleading
  or part thereof, may be made if it
  discloses (a) no reasonable cause of
  action or defence and/or (b) is
  scandalous, frivolous or vexatious
  and/or (c) tends to prejudice,
  embarrass or delay the fair trial of the
  action and/or (d) is otherwise an
  abuse of the process of the court.
  (Order 18, Rule 19 of the Rules of
  Court)

"Interlocutory Applications"





Whilst parties may attempt to privately settle their differences, it is not uncommon for parties to officially broach the issue of settlement vide a formal "Offer to Settle".

A benefit of issuing a formal "Offer to Settle" relates to the question of costs.

For instance, where an offer to settle made by a Defendant (a) is not withdrawn and has not expired before the disposal of the claim in respect of which the offer to settle is made; and (b) is not accepted by the Plaintiff, and the Plaintiff obtains judgment less favourable than the terms of the offer







to settle, the Plaintiff is entitled to costs on the standard basis to the date the offer was served and the Defendant is entitled to costs on the indemnity basis from that date, unless the Court orders otherwise.

Simply, A offers to pay B S\$1mil to settle a dispute but B rejects the offer and insists on going to trial. At trial, B wins but is only awarded S\$200,000 by the Court. If so, B will be made to suffer certain cost penalties for being foolish to have rejected the Offer to Settle.

One rationale for this approach is that B is deemed to have "acted unreasonably throughout the proceedings resulting in wastage of resources, time and costs". (CCM Industrial Pte Ltd v Uniquetech Pte Ltd [2009] 2 SLR 20)



For more information on the article, or if you wish to learn more about the topics discussed, please contact:-

## Mark **LEE**

Joint Managing Director

HP: (+65) <u>9182 9643</u> Office: (+65) <u>6514 6352</u>

Email: mark.lee@wmhlaw.com.sg

www.wmhlaw.com.sg

## Wilbur LIM

Joint Managing Director

HP: (+65) <u>9838 2910</u> Office: (+65) <u>6514 6351</u>

Email: wilbur.lim@wmhlaw.com.sg

www.wmhlaw.com.sg

WMH Law Corporation is a boutique litigation and arbitration firm specialized in resolving disputes effectively and efficiently. The firm was established by a group of lawyers who all formerly practiced at a Singapore Big Four law firm. The firm and its lawyers have consistently been recognized as one of the leading boutique law firms in South East Asia.

The content of this article does not constitute legal advice and should not be relied on as such. Specific advice should be sought about your specific circumstances. Copyright in this publication is owned by WMH Law Corporation. This publication may not be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, in whole or in part, without prior written approval.